

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/606,568	06/25/2003	Bonnie Lopez	LOPC120896	4674
26389	7590 03/25/2005		EXAMINER	
CHRISTENSEN, O'CONNOR, JOHNSON, KINDNESS, PLLC			PRONE, JASON D	
1420 FIFTH SUITE 2800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	WA 98101-2347		3724	·

DATE MAILED: 03/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	~ 1
\.	$\gamma \gamma$
\mathcal{O}	<i>,</i> ,

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/606,568	LOPEZ, BONNIE	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jason Prone	3724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a re within the statutory minimum of thirty rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AB	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communicati ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Fe	ebruary 2005.		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ice except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits	is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-26 and 28-36</u> is/are pending in t	he annlication		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-23</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-13,24-26 and 28-36</u> is/are reject	red		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		: :	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 February 2005</u> is/are	: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ c	bjected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		pplication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	•	·	
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not r	eceived.	
Attachment(c)			
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Intension S	ımmary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	-	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/606,568

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

1. The indicated allowability of claims 2, subject matter of cancelled claim 4, 5-9, 13, 25, 26 subject matter of cancelled claim 27, and 31 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference to Bourdunis (2,809,476). Rejections based on the newly cited reference follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 6, 8, 12, 13, 34, and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bourdunis (2,809,476) in view of Bourdunis (2,489,005). '476 discloses the invention including a frame (11) adapted to couple to a sander (19) having a first sanding pad (21) and a second sanding pad coupled to the frame (17). The second sanding pad is coupled to the frame such that the second sanding pad is adapted to be sandwiched between the frame and the length of unused sandpaper (11, 17, and 47). The frame includes a tray portion (13) and the tray portion is adapted to receive the first sanding pad upon a first surface of a wall of the tray (Fig. 6) and the second sanding pad on an opposite surface of the wall (Fig. 5), a sandpaper restraining device coupled to the frame (36) which is adapted to hold a distal end of the length of sandpaper (Fig. 5), the sandpaper restraining device is movable between a first position in which the restraining device is adapted to hold sandpaper stationary relative to the

Art Unit: 3724

frame (Fig. 5) and a second position in which the restraining device is adapted to permit sandpaper to move (Fig. 4), that the frame is adapted to be coupled to the sander so that the frame is disposed between the first and second sanding pads (11). The frame is adapted to be coupled to the sander so that the second sanding pad is disposed below the first sanding pad (Fig. 5), and that the frame covers a majority of a bottom surface of the first sanding pad when the frame is coupled to the sander (11 and 21). However `476 fails to disclose a sandpaper dispensing assembly coupled to the frame and adapted to store a length of sandpaper, the dispensing assembly is adapted to selectively dispense the sandpaper over the second sanding pad, and that the dispensing assembly includes a support member adapted to rotatingly dispense sandpaper. '005 teaches a sandpaper dispensing assembly coupled to the frame and adapted to store a length of sandpaper (19), the dispensing assembly is adapted to selectively dispense the sandpaper over the second sanding pad (28), and the dispensing assembly includes a support member adapted to rotatingly dispense sandpaper (19). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have provided `476 with a sandpaper dispensing assembly, as taught by '005, to provide a more efficient means to replace used/worn sandpaper.

4. Claims 5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over '476 in view of '005 as applied to claim1 and 6 above, and further in view of Marinsky (2,447,518). '476 and '005 disclose the invention but fail to disclose that the restraining means includes a cutting surface. Marinsky teaches a restraining means that includes a

Art Unit: 3724

cutting surface (37). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have provided `476 in view of `005 with a cutting surface, as taught by Marinsky, to easily remove unwanted used/worn sandpaper.

- 5. Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over '476 in view of '005 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Anderson (6,083,091). '476 and '005 disclose the invention but fail to disclose that the sandpaper dispensing assembly further comprises a tensioning system for holding the unused sandpaper in a tensioned condition relative to the frame and the tensioning system is positionable between a first position in which the sandpaper is impeded and a second position in which the dispensing assembly is free to dispense sandpaper. Anderson teaches a tensioning system for holding the unused sandpaper in a tensioned condition relative to the frame (6 and 7) and the tensioning system is positionable between a first position in which the sandpaper is impeded and a second position in which the dispensing assembly is free to dispense sandpaper (Fig. 2). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have provided '476 in view of '005 with a tensioning system, as taught by Anderson, to prevent unwanted dispensing.
- 6. Claims 24-31 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over `476 in view of `005 and further in view of Marinsky. `476 discloses the invention including a frame (11) adapted to couple to a sander (19) having a first sanding pad (21), a second sanding pad coupled to the frame (17), that the frame is adapted to be coupled to the sander so that the frame is disposed between the first and second

Art Unit: 3724

sanding pads (Fig. 5), that the second sanding pad is coupled to the frame such that the second sanding pad is adapted to be sandwiched between the frame and the length of unused sandpaper (11, 17, and 47), a sandpaper restraining means for selectively holding sandpaper stationary relative to the frame (36). The sandpaper restraining means is movable between a first position in which the restraining means engages the length of the sandpaper to impede movement of the sandpaper relative to the frame (Fig. 5) and a second position in which the restraining means permits the sandpaper to move relative to the frame (Fig. 4), and the frame is adapted to be coupled to the sander so that the frame is disposed between the first and second sanding pads (11). However, '476 fails to disclose a sandpaper dispensing means/assembly for selectively dispensing sandpaper, the dispensing means is coupled to the frame and adapted to store a length of sandpaper, the dispensing assembly is adapted to selectively dispense the sandpaper over the second sanding pad, and that the restraining means includes a cutting surface `005 teaches a sandpaper dispensing means/assembly for selectively dispensing sandpaper (19), the sandpaper dispensing means/assembly is coupled to the frame and adapted to store a length of sandpaper (19), and that the dispensing assembly is adapted to selectively dispense the sandpaper over the second sanding pad (28). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have provided '476 with a sandpaper dispensing assembly, as taught by '005, to provide a more efficient means to replace used/worn sandpaper.

Marinsky teaches a restraining means that includes a cutting surface (37).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of

Application/Control Number: 10/606,568 Page 6

Art Unit: 3724

the invention, to have provided `476 in view of `005 with a cutting surface, as taught by Marinsky, to easily remove unwanted used/worn sandpaper.

7. Claims 32 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over '476 in view of '005 further in view of Marinsky as applied to claim 24 above, and further in view of Anderson. '476, '005, and Marinsky disclose the invention but fail to disclose that the sandpaper dispensing means further comprises a tensioning system for holding sandpaper stationary relative to the frame and the tensioning system is positionable between a first position in which the sandpaper is impeded from dispensing and a second position in which the dispensing means is free to dispense sandpaper.

Anderson teaches a tensioning system for holding the unused sandpaper in a tensioned condition relative to the frame (6 and 7) and the tensioning system is positionable between a first position in which the sandpaper is impeded and a second position in which the dispensing means is free to dispense sandpaper (Fig. 2). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have provided '476 in view of '005 further in view of Marinsky with a tensioning system, as taught by Anderson, to prevent unwanted dispensing.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 14-23 are allowed. Claim 14 is allowable because the combination of the sander and the dispenser incorporate a frame that is adapted to hold the sanding pad a predetermined distance away from the moving portion. None of the prior art incorporates the combination of a dispenser with one pad and a sander with a moving portion a predetermined distance away from the sanding pad.

Art Unit: 3724

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3, 9-12, 24, 25, and 28-33 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason Prone whose telephone number is 571-272-4513. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00, Mon - (every other) Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JP March 09, 2005 Allan N. Shoap Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700